

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address, COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. www.uspto.gov	20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
 CONFIRMATION NO.

 09/772.628
 01/30/2001
 Akihiro Into
 \$004-4198
 9945

10/09/2002

ADAMS & WILKS 50 Broadway, 31st Floor New York, NY 10004

EXAMINER
BUDD, MARK OSBORNE

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2834

DATE MAILED: 10/09/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Office Action Summary	
	Examiner Group Art Unit 2834
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address
Period for Reply	_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.	38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. righe SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
✓ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8-29-	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193 	or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Xt Claim(s) 1-3, 6-9, 11, 12, 14-27, 29. Of the above claim(s)	39, and 41-43 is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
N Claim(s) 1-3, 6-9, 11, 12, 18-20, 72-27, 30-	36, 38,39 \$ 41-43 is/are allowed.
Claim(s) 1-3, 6-9, 11, 12, 18-30, 22-37, 30-18, Claim(s) 14-16, 24, 29 and 37	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
 See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin 	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The drawing(s) filed onis/are object	d to by the Examiner.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. 	e priority documents have been
 received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number received in this national stage application from the International 	
*Certified copies not received:	
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	s) Interview Summary, PTO-413
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	□ Other

Office Action Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

4

12 Part of Paper No._

Art Unit: 2834

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 14-16, 21 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no written description or illustration of any poling directions for the piezo ceramic in the original disclosure.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention

The claims are vague and indefinite in that it is unclear how the piezo ceramic is divided i.e. What applicant means by "divided". Does it mean cut part way thru the thickness, completely cut apart (separate pieces) or some other meaning. Thus, one cannot determine the metes and bounds of these claims.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Art Unit: 2834

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Shirasaki (figs. 1 and 4), Kataoka (fig. 2), Takagi (figs. 1, 2, 5 and 7) or Okazaki (figs. 2-4 and 6-13).

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Takagi (fig. 1 and 2), Japan (383) (fig. 2) or Japan (359) (figs. 1 and 2).

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kuwabara or Kawata.

Claims 1-3, 6-9, 11, 12, 18-20, 22-27, 30-36, 38, 39 and 41-43.

Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipate by Ashizawa.

MARY EXAMINER